

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/687,348	10/12/2000	Ramesh Nagarajan	16-13-2	9948	
46363	46363 7590 01/10/2005			EXAMINER	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/			LEE, CH	LEE, CHI HO A	
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SHREWSBU	SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702		2663		
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/687,348	NAGARAJAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Lee	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	1 December 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.					
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 13-17,21 and 38 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 15-17,21 and 38 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burners * See the attached detailed Office action for a light section for a light section.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
	,					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	ary (PTO-413) i Date.				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/687,348

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14, line 4, it is unclear what is meant by "the neighboring node is determined as a function of a network topology of the transport network". Applicant is requested to reference the specification so mete and bound can be determined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Izawa et al U.S. Patent Number 6,477,141.

Re Claim 13, fig. 1 teaches Start/Stop Setting 6 that receives ATM cells and transmits copies quality monitoring cells (See fig. 2) (a signaling packet) to ATM switch

sections 3 & 4 to Selector 17 (receiving multiple copies). The path VPI/VCI (a received packet identifier) to be monitored is specified from the header (See col. 3, lines 32-45), wherein the Counters perform counting (calculating a counter value) based on the VPI/VCI information. Then the Control section 5 compares the count value of the multiples copies of the quality monitoring cells to determine the quality of the communication lines (See col. 4, lines 6-25) whereby the selector 17 selects the quality monitoring cell (one signaling packet) from at least one of the ATM switch sections and the quality comparison.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 15-17, 21 and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In combination with claims 13 and 38, prior art fails to teach the signaling packet identifier is conveyed in an additional shim header of a MPLS packet.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13 and 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,348 Page 4

Art Unit: 2663

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 571-571-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/687,348

Art Unit: 2663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AI 1/05/05

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600